

# Investigating Mathematical Reading Comprehension

Parker Glynn-Adey<sup>2</sup>, Micheal Pawliuk<sup>1</sup>, Alexander Rennet<sup>1</sup>, Jaimal Thind<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of Toronto - Mississauga, <sup>2</sup>University of Toronto - Scarborough

### **Introduction & Context**

We studied students' Mathematical Reading Comprehension (MRC) and overall success in a flipped, recently redesigned large math course.

### **MRC Research Question:** "Did our

redesign (and subsequent improvements) increase students' success and MRC?"

Course: MAT223, Linear Algebra I @ UTM **Period:** F/W semesters, 2019-2022

### Methods

#### **Instruments:**

- **1.** Perceptions of Learning Mathematics **Instrument** (Code et al, 2016), adapted with MRC-focused questions.
- 2. MRC Test (Self-designed, courseindependent)
- 3. Assessment of MRC problems on course assessments (21-22 only).

#### **Delivery**:

- Instruments 1 & 2 were administered **Pre/Post-**semester online. (Course materials for all three years targeted MRC using 'scaffolded' pre-class readings and quizzes, and targeted instruction.)
- Students' performance on **MRC-focused** problems (Instrument 3) was compared early and late in the semester (in 21-22).

## Results

**Course redesign** was successful (in line with literature, e.g. Freeman, et al 2014).



**Instrument 1** (Perceptions Survey):

- Student responses showed self-assessed improvement in MRC (Figure 2). • Overall changes in measures of confidence were similar to expected results
- (decreases, but by less than non-active courses).
- **ELL students** showed a statistically significant improvement in confidence reading mathematics pre-COVID (Figure 3).

**Instrument 2** (MRC Test): no statistically significant change.

significant improvement, and 1&2 were not improved during 21-22.





- **Instrument 3** (MRC Assessments): students' performance showed no statistically

### Discussion

- **Pre/post-COVID data** is hard to analyze.
- The timing and lack of incentives may have resulted in unreliable MRC Exit Test data.
- MRC-focused assessments are taxing (Directly assessing MRC increases the difficulty level of course assessments).

**Students performed worse** in various measures in 21-22, including confidence.

- Very positive result (at least pre-COVID) suggests that ELL students benefit from a focus on disciplinary reading and that this can support equity/accessibility.
- Improving and assessing MRC is hard! Many of our instruments and methods could use significant refining.
- Unclear how students make use of "scaffolded" readings; a qualitative tool (e.g. focus groups), could help.

Code, W., et al (2016). The Mathematics Attitudes and Perceptions **Survey**: an instrument to assess expert-like views and dispositions among undergraduate mathematics students. International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology, 47(6), 917–937.

Freeman, S., et al (2016). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, , 111(23), 8410-8415.

> alex.rennet@utoronto.ca jaimie.thind@utoronto.ca Department of Mathematical and Computational Sciences, UTM